1	MATTHEW W. CLOSE (Bar No. 188570 mclose@omm.com	E-FILED 5/16/16
2	DIMITRI D. PORTNOI (Bar No. 282871	
3	dportnoi@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	35-0
4	400 South Hope Street	
5	Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6000	
6	Facsimile: (213) 430-6407	
7	RICHARD H. CLOSE (Bar No. 50298) rclose@gilchristrutter.com	
8	THOMAS W. CASPARIAN (Bar No. 169763) tcasparian@gilchristrutter.com	
9	YEN N. HOPE (Bar No. 233880)	
10	yhope@gilchristrutter.com GILCHRIST & RUTTER	
11	Professional Corporation 1299 Ocean Avenue, Suite 900	
12	Santa Monica, California 90401-1000	
13	Telephone: (310) 393-4000 Facsimile: (310) 394-4700	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Colony Cove	
15	Properties, LLC	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	COLONY COVE PROPERTIES, LLC,	Case No. CV 14-03242 PSG (PJWx)
20	a Delaware limited liability company,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
21	Plaintiff,	
22	V.	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 54]
23	CITY OF CARSON, a municipal	Courtroom: 880 Judge: Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez
24	corporation; CITY OF CARSON	Juage. Hon. Himp D. Gunenez
25	MOBILEHOME PARK RENTAL REVIEW BOARD, a public	
26	administrative body; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,	
27	Defendants.	
28	Detenuants.	

1 On April 28, 2014, Plaintiff Colony Cove Properties, LLC commenced this 2 action against Defendants City of Carson and City of Carson Mobilehome Park 3 Rental Review Board seeking damages and declaratory relief under 42 U.S.C. § 4 1983 for a regulatory taking without just compensation in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Beginning on April 28, 2016, 5 6 Plaintiff's claim for relief was tried to a jury. On May 5, 2016, the jury duly 7 rendered a unanimous verdict in Plaintiff's favor. (Dkt. No. 194.) Accordingly, 8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED: 9 10 1. That Defendants City of Carson's and City of Carson Mobilehome Park Rental Review Board's (collectively, "Defendants") decisions with 11 respect to Plaintiff Colony Cove Properties, LLC's ("Plaintiff") rent-12 increase application submitted in September 2007 constituted a regulatory 13 14 taking without just compensation in violation of the Fifth Amendment to 15 the United States Constitution; 2. That Defendants' decisions with respect to Plaintiff's rent-increase 16 17 application submitted in September 2008 constituted a regulatory taking without just compensation in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the 18 19 United States Constitution; 20 3. That Plaintiff recover \$3,336,056 in damages, jointly and severally, from

Defendants: and

Procedure and the Local Rules.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. That Plaintiff may file any attorneys' fees motion and/or application for

costs in accordance with Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil